arXiv:1110.4017v1 [math.FA] 18 Oct 2011

An extension of Mercer theorem to vector-valued measurable kernels

Ernesto De Vito, Veronica Umanità, Silvia Villa
DIMA, Università di Genova, Via Dodecaneso 35, 16146 Genova, Italy
`{devito,umanita,villa}@dima.unige.it`

October 19, 2011

Abstract

We extend the classical Mercer theorem to reproducing kernel Hilbert spaces whose elements are functions from a measurable space Xinto $\mathbb{C}^n$. Given a finite measure μ on X, we represent the reproducing kernel K as convergent series in terms of the eigenfunctions of a suitable compact operator depending on K and μ. Our result holds under the mild assumption that K is measurable and the associated Hilbert space is separable. Furthermore, we show that X has a natural second countable topology with respect to which the eigenfunctions are continuous and the series representing K uniformly converges to K on any compact subsets of $X \times X$, provided that the support of μ is X.

Keywords Reproducing Kernel Hilbert Spaces, Integral Operators, Eigenvalues, Statistical Learning Theory

2000 MSC: 46E22, 47B32, 47B34, 47G10, 47A70, 68T05

1 Introduction

Reproducing kernel Hilbert spaces (RKHSs) are spaces of functions defined on an arbitrary set X and taking values into a normed vector space Y with the property that the evaluation operator at each point is continuous. Usually the output space Y is simply $Y = \mathbb{R}$ or $\mathbb{C}$, but recently the vector-valued setting is becoming increasingly popular, especially in machine learning because of its generality and its good experimental performance in a variety of different domains [1, 2, 3]. The mathematical theory for vector-valued RKHS has been completely worked out in the seminal paper [4], which studies the Hilbert spaces that are continuously embedded into a locally convex topological vector space, see also [5]. If Y is itself a Hilbert space, the theory can be simplified as shown in [6, 7, 8, 9]. In particular, it remains true that the vector valued RKHSs are completely characterized by the corresponding reproducing kernel, which now takes value in the space of bounded operators on Y.

The focus of this paper is on Mercer theorem [10]. In the scalar setting, it provides a series representation, called *Mercer representation*, for the reproducing kernel K under some suitable hypotheses. In the classical setting, X is assumed to be a compact separable metric space and the reproducing kernel K to be continuous. Hence, fixed a finite measure μ on X such its support is X, the integral operator L_μ with kernel K is a compact positive operator on $L^2(X, \mu)$ and it admits an orthonormal basis $\{f_i\}_{i \in I}$ of eigenfunctions with non-negative eigenvalues $\{\sigma_i\}_{i \in I}$ such that each f_i with $\sigma_i > 0$ is a continuous function. Mercer theorem states that

$$K(x,t) = \sum_{i \in I} \sigma_i f_i(t) \overline{f_i(x)} \qquad \forall x, t \in X, \tag{1}$$

where the series is absolutely and uniformly convergent (see also [11]). In the following we refer to (1) as a Mercer representation of K.

The kind of representation for the reproducing kernel plays an special rôle in the applications. For example, since the family $\{\sqrt{\sigma_i} f_i : \sigma_i > 0\}$ is an orthonormal basis of the corresponding RKHS $\mathcal{H}_K$, it provides a *canonical* feature map which relates the spectral properties of L_μ and the structure of $\mathcal{H}_K$. This characterization has several consequences in the study of learning algorithms, since it allows to prove smoothing properties of kernels and to obtain error estimates, see for example [12, 13] and references therein. In addition, the Mercer representation is an important tool in the theory of stochastic processes [14, 15] and for dimensionality reduction methods, such as kernel PCA [16, 17].

However, in many applications, the "classical hypotheses" of Mercer theorem are not satisfied. For this reason, in the recent years there has been an increasing interest in Mercer representations under relaxed assumptions on the input space X, on the kernel K and on Y. A first group of results concerns scalar kernels. For example, [18] dealt with the case of a σ-compact metric space X and a continuous kernel satisfying some natural integrability conditions. When X is an arbitrary measurable space endowed with a probability measure, and K is an L^2-integrable kernel, resorting to the spectral properties of the operator L_μ, it is possible to obtain a Mercer representation of the kernel [19]. The weakness of these results is that the corresponding series converges only almost everywhere. More stringent assumptions on the kernel, such as boundedness, allow to get convergence in L^∞, which is still too weak to get a pointwise representation [20]. The preprint [21] contains the more general developments on the subject. In particular, a Mercer representation enjoying pointwise absolute convergence is obtained under less restrictive assumptions on the kernel. More precisely, given a finite Borel measure μ on X and assuming the RKHS separable and compactly embedded into $L^2(X, \mu)$, a Mercer representation almost everywhere pointwise convergent is recovered; moreover, it is proved that the convergence is pointwise absolute if and only if the embedding of $\mathcal{H}_K$ into $L^2(X, \mu)$ is injective. Regarding vector valued kernels, [8] provides an (integral) Mercer representation under the condition that the K is square-integrable and Y is a (separable) Hilbert space.

In our paper we extend Mercer theorem in three aspects by assuming that

i) the input space X is a measurable space;

ii) the output space Y is a finite dimensional vector space;

iii) the kernel K is a measurable function and the corresponding RKHS $\mathcal{H}_K$ is separable.

Generalizing the ideas in [22, 23], we show that X has a natural second countable topology making K a continuous kernel. Moreover, fixed a finite measure μ such that its support is X, we construct another measure ν such that the integral operator L_ν of kernel K is compact on $L^2(X, \nu, \mathbb{C}^n)$. Hence, by using the singular value decomposition, we prove that the Mercer representation (1) holds true, where $\{f_i\}_{i\in I}$ is any orthonormal basis of eigenfunctions of L_ν, $\{\sigma_i\}_{i\in I}$ the corresponding family of eigenvalues and the series converges uniformly on the compact subsets of $X \times X$. If the support of μ is a proper subset of X, representation (1) still holds true provided that $x, t \in \operatorname{supp} \mu$. Note that the assumption on Y can be relaxed allowing Y to be a separable Hilbert space provided that $K(x, x)$ is a compact operator[1] for all $x \in X$. However, for the sake of clarity we state our results only for finite dimensional output spaces and, by choosing a basis, we can further assume that $Y = \mathbb{C}^n$.

The paper is organized as it follows. In Section 2 we introduce the notation and we recall some basic facts about vector-valued reproducing kernel Hilbert spaces. Section 3 contains the main results of the paper: given a measurable vector valued reproducing kernel K, Theorem 3.2 gives the Mercer representation of K and Proposition 3.3 studies the relation between K and the scalar reproducing kernels associated with the "diagonal blocks" of K, see (12). The proofs are given in Sections 4 and 5. In the former we prove the Mercer theorem for continuous vector-valued kernels defined on metric spaces and satisfying a suitable integrability condition. Section 5 is devoted to the proof of Theorem 3.1 and Proposition 3.3. The appendix collects some properties of the associated integral operator.

2 Preliminaries and notation

For any integer $n \geq 1$, the Euclidean norm and the inner product on $\mathbb{C}^n$ are denoted by $\|\cdot\|$ and $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$. The family $\{e_j\}_{j=1}^n$ is the canonical basis of $\mathbb{C}^n$ and $M_n(\mathbb{C})$ is the space of complex $n \times n$ matrices. For any matrix $T \in M_n(\mathbb{C})$ we let $\|T\| = \sup\{\|Ty\| : y \in \mathbb{C}^n, \|y\| \leq 1\}$ be the operator norm, T^* is the adjoint and $\operatorname{Tr} T = \sum_{j=1}^n T_{jj}$ the trace.
Given a set X, $\mathcal{F}(X, \mathbb{C}^n)$ denotes the vector space of functions from X into $\mathbb{C}^n$. When X is endowed with a σ-algebra $\mathcal{A}$ and a positive finite measure $\nu : \mathcal{A} \to [0, +\infty)$, then $L^2(X, \nu; \mathbb{C}^n)$ is the Hilbert space of (equivalence classes of) ν-square-integrable functions from X into $\mathbb{C}^n$, with inner product $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_2$ and norm $\|\cdot\|_2$. If X has a topology, $\mathcal{C}(X, \mathbb{C}^n)$ is the vector space of continuous functions from X to $\mathbb{C}^n$ and $\mathcal{B}(X)$ is the Borel σ-algebra.

In this paper we focus on reproducing kernel Hilbert spaces whose elements are functions from a set X with values in $\mathbb{C}^n$. These Hilbert spaces are completely characterized by their reproducing kernel, which is a function from in $X \times X$ to $M_n(\mathbb{C})$, and we take the kernel as the primary object. We recall the following definition.

---

[1] This assumption implies that L_ν is compact, see Proposition 4.8 of [8], so that L_ν always has a basis of eigenfunctions by Hilbert-Schmidt theorem.

Definition 2.1. A map $K : X \times X \to M_n(\mathbb{C})$ is called a $\mathbb{C}^n$-reproducing kernel if

a) for all $x, t \in X$, $K(x,t)^* = K(t,x)$;

b) for any $m \geq 1$, $x_1, \ldots, x_m \in X$, $y_1, \ldots, y_m \in \mathbb{C}^n$

$$\sum_{i,j=1}^{m} \langle K(x_i, x_j) y_j, y_i \rangle \geq 0.$$

From now on we fix a $\mathbb{C}^n$-reproducing kernel K and, for any $x \in X$ and $j = 1, \ldots, n$, we denote by K_x^j the function in $\mathcal{F}(X, \mathbb{C}^n)$ given by

$$K_x^j(t) := K(t,x) e_j, \qquad t \in X.$$

We recall that K defines a unique RKHS $\mathcal{H}_K$, whose inner product and norm of $\mathcal{H}_K$ are denoted by $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_K$ and $\|\cdot\|_K$, such that $\mathcal{H}_K$ is a vector subspace of $\mathcal{F}(X, \mathbb{C}^n)$ and

$$\begin{aligned} & K_x^j \in \mathcal{H}_K, && \forall\, x \in X,\ j = 1, \ldots, n \\ & f(x) = \left(\langle f, K_x^1 \rangle_K, \ldots, \langle f, K_x^n \rangle_K \right), && \forall\, x \in X,\ f \in \mathcal{H}_K, \end{aligned} \tag{2}$$

see Proposition 2.1 of [8]. Furthermore, the following properties hold true

$$K(x,t)_{lj} = \langle K_t^j, K_x^l \rangle_K, \qquad x, t \in X\, j, l = 1, \ldots, n \tag{3}$$

$$\mathcal{H}_K = \overline{\operatorname{span}}\{K_x y \,:\, x \in X, y \in \mathbb{C}^n\} \tag{4}$$

$$f(x) = K_x^* f \qquad x \in X$$

where $K_x : \mathbb{C}^n \to \mathcal{H}_K$ is the (bounded) operator defined by $K_x y = \sum y_j K_x^j$ for all $y = (y^1, \ldots, y^n) \in \mathbb{C}^n$.

Finally, we recall that $\mathcal{H}_K$ can be realized also as a closed subspace of some arbitrary Hilbert space by means of a suitable feature map, as shown by the next result.

Proposition 2.2 (Proposition 2.4 [8])**.** *Let $\mathcal{H}$ be a Hilbert space and a map $\gamma : X \to \mathcal{H}^n$. Then the operator $W : \mathcal{H} \to \mathcal{F}(X; \mathbb{C}^n)$ defined by*

$$(Wu)(x) = (\langle u, \gamma_x^1 \rangle, \ldots, \langle u, \gamma_x^n \rangle), \qquad u \in \mathcal{H},\ x \in X, \tag{5}$$

is a partial isometry from $\mathcal{H}$ onto the reproducing kernel Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}_K$ with reproducing kernel

$$K(x,t)_{lj} = \langle \gamma_t^j, \gamma_x^l \rangle, \qquad x,t \in X, \qquad l,j = 1,\dots,n. \tag{6}$$

Moreover, W^*W is the orthogonal projection onto

$$\ker W^{\perp} = \overline{\operatorname{span}}\{\gamma_x y \mid x \in X,\ y \in \mathbb{C}^n\}.$$

3 Mercer theorem for measurable kernels

In this section we present the main result of the paper, namely a Mercer representation of a $\mathbb{C}^n$-reproducing kernel K under the assumptions that X is endowed with a finite measure μ and K is measurable. The distinctive feature of our result with respect to already existing generalizations of Mercer theorem relies in the construction of an *ad hoc* topological structure on the space X, intrinsically defined by the kernel. Passing through this topology and introducing a suitable measure related to μ, we do not assume the space $\mathcal{H}_K$ to be embedded in $L^2(X,\mu;\mathbb{C}^n)$, and we are nevertheless able to get a Mercer representation for the kernel and a strong convergence result on the series defining it. In particular, we recover uniform convergence on compact subsets with respect to the topology we introduce.

As in [22, 23], we note that the reproducing kernel K defines a pseudo-metric d on X

$$d(x,t) = \sup_{\substack{y \in \mathbb{C}^n \\ \|y\| \leq 1}} \|K_x y - K_t y\|_K \qquad x,t \in X, \tag{7}$$

which induces a (non-Hausdorff) topology τ_K on X. A basis of τ_K is provided by the family of open balls $\{B(x,r) \,:\, x \in X, r > 0\}$ where

$$B(x,r) = \{t \in X \,:\, d(x,t) < r\}. \tag{8}$$

Note that the pseudo-metric d can be replaced by the equivalent pseudo-metric $d'(x,t) = \sqrt{\sum_{j=1}^n \|K_x^j - K_t^j\|_K^2}$, which gives rise to the same topology. The following result states some properties of τ_K.

Theorem 3.1. *Assume that $\mathcal{H}_K$ is separable.*

i) The space X endowed with the topology τ_K is second countable and K is continuous;

ii) If $\mathcal{A}$ is a σ-algebra on X with respect to which K is measurable, the Borel σ-algebra $\mathcal{B}(X)$ generated by τ_K is contained in $\mathcal{A}$;

iii) If $\mu : \mathcal{A} \to [0, +\infty)$ is a finite measure, then there exists a unique closed set $C \subset X$, namely the support of μ, such that $\mu(C) = \mu(X)$ and, if C' is another closed subset with $\mu(C') = \mu(X)$, then $C' \supset C$.

The support of μ is denoted by $\operatorname{supp} \mu$ and is, by its very definition, the smallest closed subset of X having full measure. The assumption that $\mathcal{H}_K$ is separable is essential to prove its existence.

From now on, we fix a σ-algebra $\mathcal{A}$ on X and a finite measure μ defined on $\mathcal{A}$. We assume that $\mathcal{H}_K$ is separable and K is measurable, and we regard X as a second countable topological space with respect to the topology τ_K. Though K is continuous, this condition does not ensure that the integral operator with kernel K is bounded on $L^2(X, \mu, \mathbb{C}^n)$. We overcome this problem by considering another measure ν, which is equivalent to μ, such that the integral operator with kernel K is bounded on $L^2(X, \nu, \mathbb{C}^n)$. Indeed, define $\nu : \mathcal{A} \to [0, +\infty)$ as

$$\nu(A) := \int_A \frac{1}{1 + \|K(x,x)\|} \mathrm{d}\mu(x), \qquad A \in \mathcal{B}(X). \tag{9}$$

Clearly ν is a positive finite measure, which is equivalent to μ and it satisfies $\operatorname{supp} \nu = \operatorname{supp} \mu$. Furthermore, since $\operatorname{Tr} K(x,x) \leq n\|K(x,x)\|$, the integral $\int_X \operatorname{Tr} K(x,x) \mathrm{d}\nu(x)$ is finite and Theorem 6.1 in the appendix states that the integral operator with kernel K

$$\begin{aligned} L_\nu : L^2(X, \nu; \mathbb{C}^n) &\to L^2(X, \nu; \mathbb{C}^n) \\ (L_\nu f)(x) &= \int_X K(x,t) f(t) \mathrm{d}\nu(t), \end{aligned} \tag{10}$$

is well-defined, positive and compact[2]. The Hilbert-Schmidt theorem gives the existence of a basis of $L^2(X, \nu; \mathbb{C}^n)$ of eigenfunctions of L_ν and this basis provides a Mercer decomposition of K, as shown by the following result.

---

[2] If Y is infinite dimensional and $K(x,x)$ is compact for all $x \in X$, it is possible to prove that L_ν is compact by Proposition 4.8 of [8].

Theorem 3.2. *Let $(X, \mathcal{A})$ be a measurable space endowed with a finite measure μ. Assume that the reproducing kernel $K : X \times X \to M_n(\mathbb{C})$ is measurable and $\mathcal{H}_K$ is separable. Define ν as in (9) and L_ν as in (10). Then there exists a countable family $\{f_i\}_{i\in I}$ in $\mathcal{F}(X, \mathbb{C}^n)$ such that:*

a) for all $i \in I$ the function f_i is continuous with respect to τ_K,

b) the family $\{f_i\}_{i\in I}$ is an orthonormal basis of $\ker L_\nu^\perp \subset L^2(X, \nu; \mathbb{C}^n)$ and, for all $i \in I$, $L_\nu f_i = \sigma_i f_i$ for some $\sigma_i \in (0, +\infty)$.

Given any family $\{f_i\}_{i\in I}$ satisfying a) and b), then

i) for all $x, t \in \operatorname{supp}\mu$ and $j, l = 1, \ldots, n$

$$K(x,t)_{lj} = \sum_{i\in I} \sigma_i f_i^j(t)\overline{f_i^l(x)}, \tag{11}$$

where the convergence is uniform on compact subsets of $\operatorname{supp}\mu \times \operatorname{supp}\mu$;

ii) the family $\{\sqrt{\sigma_i} f_i\}_{i\in I}$ is orthonormal in $\mathcal{H}_K$;

iii) if $\operatorname{supp}\mu = X$, $\{\sqrt{\sigma_i} f_i\}_{i\in I}$ is an orthonormal basis of $\mathcal{H}_K$.

iv) for $j = 1, \ldots, n$, the family $\{\sqrt{\sigma_i} f_i^j\}_{i\in I}$ is a Parseval frame in the scalar reproducing kernel Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}_{K_j}$ with reproducing kernel K_j given by

$$K_j(x,t) = K(x,t)_{jj} \qquad x, t \in X. \tag{12}$$

We recall that $\{\sqrt{\sigma_i} f_i^j\}_{i\in I}$ is a Parseval frame in $\mathcal{H}_{K_j}$ if

$$\|f\|_{K_j}^2 = \sum_{i\in I} \sigma_i |\langle f, f_i^j\rangle_{K_j}|^2 \qquad \forall\, f \in \mathcal{H}_{K_j}. \tag{13}$$

Item iv) of Theorem 3.2 provides a tool to construct $\mathbb{C}^n$-reproducing kernels as shown by the following result.

Proposition 3.3. *Let $(X, \mathcal{A})$ be a measurable space endowed with a finite measure μ such that $\operatorname{supp}\mu = X$. Given a family $K_1, \ldots, K_n$ of n scalar measurable reproducing kernels on X, for each $j = 1, \ldots, n$ take a Parseval frame $\{f_i^j\}_{i\in I}$ in the corresponding reproducing kernel Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}_{K_j}$ with I countable, and define the function $K : X \times X \to M_n(\mathbb{C})$ as*

$$K(x,t)_{lj} = \sum_{i\in I} f_i^j(t)\overline{f_i^l(x)} \qquad \forall\, x, t \in X. \tag{14}$$

The map K is a measurable $\mathbb{C}^n$-reproducing kernel on X satisfying (12) and $\mathcal{H}_K$ is separable.

4 Continuous Mercer theorem on a metric space

The first step in order to show Theorem 3.2 is to prove Mercer theorem under the assumption that X is a metric space, K is continuous and $\int_X \operatorname{Tr} K(x,x) d\nu(x)$ is finite. For scalar kernels the result is well known, see [13]. However, our proof is elementary and it holds for vector valued kernels. As in [24, 25], it is based on the singular value decomposition of the embedding $i_\nu : \mathcal{H}_K \to L^2(X,\nu;\mathbb{C}^n)$, which is a compact operator. We will make use of some known properties of i_ν collected in the appendix.

Theorem 4.1. *Let X be a separable metric space and ν a finite measure defined on $\mathcal{B}(X)$. Assume $K : X \times X \to M_n(\mathbb{C})$ to be a continuous reproducing kernel such that*

$$\int_X \operatorname{Tr} K(x,x)\, \mathrm{d}\nu(x) < +\infty. \tag{15}$$

Define the trace class operator L_ν as in (10) *and take an orthonormal basis $\{f_i\}_{i\in I}$ of $\ker {L_\nu}^\perp$ of continuous eigenvectors of L_ν and let $\{\sigma_i\}_{i\in I} \subseteq (0,+\infty)$ be the corresponding family of eigenvalues. Then the family $\{\sqrt{\sigma_i} f_i\}_{i\in I}$ is orthonormal in $\mathcal{H}_K$ and*

$$K(x,t)_{lj} = \sum_{i\in I} \sigma_i f_i^j(t)\overline{f_i^l(x)} \qquad \forall\, x,t \in \operatorname{supp}\nu, \tag{16}$$

where the series converges uniformly on any compact subset of $\operatorname{supp}\nu \times \operatorname{supp}\nu$*. If* $\operatorname{supp}\nu = X$*, $\{\sqrt{\sigma_i} f_i\}_{i\in I}$ is an orthonormal basis of $\mathcal{H}_K$.*

Remark 4.2. Item 4) of Theorem 6.1 in the appendix guarantees the existence of a basis $\{f_i\}_{i\in I}$ of $\ker {L_\nu}^\perp$ of continuous eigenvectors of L_ν.

Proof. As in Theorem 6.1, we denote by $i_\nu : \mathcal{H}_K \hookrightarrow L^2(X,\nu;\mathbb{C}^n)$ the canonical embedding. Its adjoint i_ν^* is given by (25), so that $L_\nu = i_\nu i_\nu^*$, and we define the operator $T_\nu : \mathcal{H}_K \to \mathcal{H}_K$ as $T_\nu := i_\nu^* i_\nu$. Take a family $\{f_i\}_{i\in I}$ as in the statement of the theorem and, for all $i \in I$, define $g_i = i_K^* f_i/\sqrt{\sigma_i}$. The singular value decomposition of i_ν^* gives that $\{g_i\}_{i\in I}$ is an orthonormal basis of $\ker {T_\nu}^\perp$ of eigenvectors of T_ν. We claim that, for all $x \in \operatorname{supp}\nu$ and $j = 1,\dots,n$, $K_x^j \in \ker {T_\nu}^\perp$. Indeed, for any $f \in \ker T_\nu$

$$0 = \langle T_\nu f, f\rangle_K = \langle i_\nu f, i_\nu f\rangle = \sum_{j=1}^n \int_X |f^j(x)|^2\, \mathrm{d}\nu(x).$$

Hence, for any $j = 1, \dots, n$, the map $x \mapsto f^j(x) = \langle f, K_x^j \rangle_K$ is zero ν-almost everywhere. Since $\mathcal{H}_K \subseteq C(X, \mathbb{C}^n)$, see item 1) of Theorem 6.1, the definition of support implies that $\langle f, K_x^j \rangle_K = 0$ for all $x \in \operatorname{supp} \nu$. Hence

$$K_t^j \in \ker T_\nu^\perp \qquad \forall t \in \operatorname{supp} \nu, \quad j = 1, \dots, n. \tag{17}$$

Furthermore, since $\{g_i\}_{i \in I}$ is a basis of $\ker T_\nu^\perp$, for all $x \in \operatorname{supp} \nu$ and $j = 1, \dots, n$

$$K_x^j = \sum_{i \in I} \langle K_x^j, g_i \rangle_K \, g_i.$$

Hence, the reproducing property gives that

$$K(x,t)_{lj} = \langle K_x^l, K_t^j \rangle_K = \sum_{i \in I} \langle K_x^l, g_i \rangle_K \langle g_i, K_t^j \rangle_K = \sum_{i \in I} \sigma_i f_i^j(t) \overline{f_i^l(x)}$$

for all $x, t \in \operatorname{supp} \nu$.

Concerning the uniform convergence, suppose $I = \mathbb{N}$, fix two compact subsets $C, C' \subseteq \operatorname{supp} \nu$, and consider the remainder

$$\sup_{(x,t) \in C \times C'} \left| \sum_{i=q}^{+\infty} \sigma_i f_i^j(t) \overline{f_i^l(x)} \right| \leq \sqrt{\sup_{x \in C} \sum_{i=q}^{+\infty} \sigma_i |f_i^l(x)|^2} \sqrt{\sup_{t \in C'} \sum_{i=q}^{+\infty} \sigma_i |f_i^j(t)|^2}. \tag{18}$$

The series of continuous functions $\sum_{i=0}^{+\infty} \sigma_i |f_i^l(x)|^2$ converges pointwise to the continuous function $K(x,x)_{ll}$ on the compact set C, and therefore uniform convergence follows from Dini's theorem. Thus, relying on the bound in (18), we have

$$\lim_{q \to +\infty} \sup_{(x,t) \in C \times C'} \left| \sum_{i=q}^{+\infty} \sigma_i f_i^j(t) \overline{f_i^l(x)} \right| = 0.$$

Assume that $\operatorname{supp} \nu = X$. Since, by (4), $\{K_t^j : t \in X, j = 1, \dots n\}$ is total in $\mathcal{H}_K$, (17) implies that $\ker T_\nu = \{0\}$. Hence the family $\{\sqrt{\sigma_i} f_i\}_{i \in I}$ is an orthonormal basis of $\mathcal{H}_K$. □

5 Proofs

To prove the Mercer representation in the general setting of Theorem 3.2, we would like to define a metric d on X such that K becomes continuous.

A natural choice would be the map d defines by (7). However, d is not a metric unless the map $x \mapsto K_x$ is injective. To overcome this problem, we first introduce a suitable metric space $\widetilde{X}$ and a continuous kernel $\widetilde{K}$ such that the corresponding reproducing kernel $\mathcal{H}_{\widetilde{K}}$ is isomorphic to $\mathcal{H}_K$ and, as a consequence, we prove Theorem 3.1. Afterwards, the Mercer representation of K is deduced by the corresponding representation (16) of $\widetilde{K}$ given by Theorem 4.1. From now on $(X, \mathcal{A})$ is a measurable space endowed with a finite measure μ and K is a $\mathbb{C}^n$-measurable reproducing kernel such that $\mathcal{H}_K$ is separable.

Clearly d in (7) is a pseudo-metric. The symmetry property and the triangular inequality directly follow from the definition, while from $d(x,t) = 0$ we get $K_x = K_t$, which as noted before in general does not imply $x = t$. However, the reproducing property (2) gives $f(x) = f(t)$ for all $f \in \mathcal{H}_K$, which means that the functions in $\mathcal{H}_K$ are not able to distinguish the points x and t. This suggests to define an equivalence relation $\sim$ on X by setting

$$x \sim t \iff K_x = K_t. \tag{19}$$

Denote by $\widetilde{X} = X/_\sim$ the corresponding quotient space and, given $[x], [t] \in \widetilde{X}$, define the function $\tilde{d}([x],[t]) := d(x,t)$. Then $\tilde{d}$ is a distance on $\widetilde{X}$ so that $(\widetilde{X}, \tilde{d})$ is a metric space.

We consider the pull-back topology τ_K induced on X by the canonical projection $\pi : X \to X/_\sim$, i.e.

$$\tau_K = \{\pi^{-1}(A) \,:\, A \,\text{open in}\, (\widetilde{X}, \tilde{d})\}.$$

It is clear that the family of open balls $\{B(x,r) \,:\, x \in X, r > 0\}$ is a basis for τ_K, see (8). Now, the proof of Theorem 3.1 is a consequence of the next proposition where $\mathcal{L}(\mathbb{C}^n, \mathcal{H}_K)$ denotes the space of (bounded) linear operator from $\mathbb{C}^n$ to $\mathcal{H}_K$ endowed with the operator norm $\|\cdot\|_{n,K}$ so that, for example,

$$d(x,t) = \|K_x - K_t\|_{n,K} = \sup_{\substack{y \in \mathbb{C}^n \\ \|y\| \le 1}} \|K_x y - K_t y\|_K.$$

Proposition 5.1. *The following facts hold:*

i) the map $\Phi : \widetilde{X} \to \mathcal{L}(\mathbb{C}^n, \mathcal{H}_K)$ *given by* $\Phi([x]) = K_x$ *is an isometry from* $(\widetilde{X}, \tilde{d})$ *into* $(\mathcal{L}(\mathbb{C}^n, \mathcal{H}_K), \|\cdot\|_{n,K})$*;*

ii) the spaces $(\widetilde{X}, \tilde{d})$ *and* (X, τ_K) *are second countable;*

iii) the σ-algebra $\mathcal{A}$ contains $\mathcal{B}(X)$, the Borel sets generated by τ_K;

iv) given a positive finite measure ν on X, there exists $\operatorname{supp}\nu$.

Proof. Statement *i)* follows directly from the definition of the equivalence relation $\sim$ and the pseudo-distance d.
ii) Since $\mathcal{H}_K$ is separable, the space $\mathcal{L}(\mathbb{C}^n, \mathcal{H}_K)$ can be identified with $\mathcal{H}_K^n$, and then it is separable. Therefore, the set $\Phi(\widetilde{X}) \subseteq \mathcal{L}(\mathbb{C}^n, \mathcal{H}_K)$ is separable as well, and so is $\widetilde{X}$, since Φ is an isometry. Since $\widetilde{X}$ is a separable metric space, there exists a countable basis $\{A_i\}_{i\in N}$ of open subsets of $\widetilde{X}$. Clearly, $\{\pi^{-1}(A_i)\}_{i\in N}$ is a countable basis for τ_K, that is, τ_K is second countable.

To show that *iii)* holds true, it is enough to prove that each element $B(x,r)$ of the basis of τ_K belongs to $\mathcal{A}$. Towards this end, if for a given $x \in X$ we prove that the map $G_x : (X, \mathcal{A}) \to [0, +\infty)$, $G_x(y) = \|K_y - K_x\|_{n,K}$ is measurable we are done. Since G_x is the composition of the function $X \ni y \mapsto K_y - K_x \in \mathcal{L}(\mathbb{C}^n, \mathcal{H}_K)$, with $\mathcal{L}(\mathbb{C}^n, \mathcal{H}_K) \ni A \mapsto \|A\|_{n,K} \in \mathbb{R}$, and the latter is continuous, it is enough to prove that the first one is measurable. This follows from separability of $\mathcal{H}_K$ and Proposition 3.1 in [8].

Finally, to prove *iv)*, define $\operatorname{supp}\nu$ as the intersection of all τ_K-closed subsets $C \subseteq X$ with $\nu(C) = \nu(X)$. Clearly $\operatorname{supp}\nu$ is closed, and we prove that $\nu(\operatorname{supp}\nu) = \nu(X)$. Indeed, since τ_K is second countable, there exists a sequence of closed sets $\{C_j\}_{j\in\mathbb{N}}$ such that, for an arbitrary closed set C, $C = \cap_k C_{j_k}$ for a suitable subsequence $\{C_{j_k}\}_{k\in N}$. Hence,

$$\nu(\operatorname{supp}\nu) = \nu\Bigg(\bigcap_{\substack{C \text{ closed,} \\ \nu(C)=\nu(X)}} C \Bigg) = \nu\Bigg(\bigcap_{\substack{j\in\mathbb{N} \\ \nu(C_j)=\nu(X)}} C_j \Bigg)$$

$$= \lim_{\substack{j\in\mathbb{N} \\ \nu(C_j)=\nu(X)}} \nu(C_j) = \nu(X).$$

□

Note that, since $\widetilde{X}$ is a second countable metric space, it is separable. We now define a continuous kernel $\widetilde{K}$ on the separable metric space $(\widetilde{X}, \widetilde{d})$ in order to apply Theorem 4.1, once that a suitable measure $\tilde{\nu}$ has been also introduced. Set

$$\widetilde{K} : \widetilde{X} \times \widetilde{X} \to \mathcal{L}(Y), \qquad \widetilde{K}([x],[t]) := K(x,t),$$

and denote by $\mathcal{H}_{\widetilde{K}}$ the RKHS associated to $\widetilde{K}$. First of all, note that (3) and the definition of the equivalence classes in $\widetilde{X}$ guarantee that $\widetilde{K}$ is well-defined. The next proposition aims at clarifying some basic properties of this space and most of all the connections between $\mathcal{H}_K$ and $\mathcal{H}_{\widetilde{K}}$. In particular, as it will be made precise later, the two spaces roughly speaking coincide.

Proposition 5.2. *The following facts hold:*

- *i)* $\widetilde{K}$ *is a continuous kernel and every* $f \in \mathcal{H}_{\widetilde{K}}$ *is a continuous function;*
- *ii)* $\mathcal{H}_{\widetilde{K}}$ *is separable;*
- *iii)* $\mathcal{H}_{\widetilde{K}}$ *and* $\mathcal{H}_K$ *are unitarily equivalent by means of the unitary operator*

$$W : \mathcal{H}_{\widetilde{K}} \to \mathcal{H}_K \qquad (W\tilde{f})(x) := \tilde{f}([x]); \tag{20}$$

- *iv) given a sequence of functions* $(\widetilde{f}_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ *in* $\mathcal{H}_{\widetilde{K}}$ *such that* $\widetilde{f}_n \to \widetilde{f} \in \mathcal{H}_{\widetilde{K}}$ *uniformly on the compact sets of* $\widetilde{X}$*, then* $W\widetilde{f}_n \to W\widetilde{f}$ *uniformly on the compact sets of* X*.*

Proof. i) Given $x_0, t_0 \in X$ we prove that $\widetilde{K}$ is continuous in $([x_0], [t_0])$. For all $x, t \in X$ we have

$$\begin{aligned}\|\widetilde{K}([x],[t]) - \widetilde{K}([x_0],[t_0])\| &\leq \|K_x^* K_t - K_x^* K_{t_0}\| + \|K_x^* K_{t_0} - K_{x_0}^* K_{t_0}\| \\ &\leq \|K_x^*\|_{K,n} \|K_t - K_{t_0}\|_{n,K} + \|K_x^* - K_{x_0}^*\|_{K,n} \|K_{t_0}\|_{n,K}.\end{aligned}$$

Since $\|K_x^*\|_{K,n} \leq \|K_x^* - K_{x_0}^*\|_{K,n} + \|K_{x_0}^*\|_{K,n} = \|K_x - K_{x_0}\|_{n,K} + \|K_{x_0}\|_{n,K}$, the continuity of Φ gives the thesis.
The second part of statement *i*) follows by the reproducing formula $f(x) = \widetilde{K}_x^* f$ for all $f \in \mathcal{H}_{\widetilde{K}}$.

ii) Since $\widetilde{X}$ and $\mathbb{C}^n$ are separable (see Proposition 5.1.*ii*), the space $\mathcal{H}_{\widetilde{K}} = \overline{\operatorname{span}}\{\widetilde{K}_{[x]} y \,:\, x \in X, y \in \mathbb{C}^n\}$ is separable too.

iii) We apply Proposition 2.2 taking $\mathcal{H} = \mathcal{H}_{\widetilde{K}}$ and $\gamma_x = \widetilde{K}_{[x]}$, so that

$$(W\tilde{f})(x) = \tilde{f}([x]) = f(x)$$

for all $x \in X$ and $\tilde{f} \in \mathcal{H}_{\widetilde{K}}$. Since

$$\gamma_x^* \gamma_t = \widetilde{K}([x],[t]) = K(x,t),$$

the operator W is a partial isometry from $\mathcal{H}_{\widetilde{K}}$ into $\mathcal{H}_K$; moreover, $f = 0$ clearly implies $\tilde{f} = 0$, and so W is injective.

iv) Let C be a compact subset of (X, τ_K). Since by construction $\pi : X \to \widetilde{X}$ is continuous with respect to τ_K, $\pi(C)$ is compact in $\widetilde{X}$ and therefore $\sup_{[x] \in \pi(C)} |\widetilde{f}_n([x]) - \widetilde{f}([x])| \to 0$. Being by definition $W\widetilde{f}_n(x) = \widetilde{f}_n([x])$, the thesis follows. ☐

In order to apply Theorem 4.1 to the kernel $\widetilde{K}$, the last ingredient we need is a finite measure $\tilde{\nu}$ on $\widetilde{X}$. If ν is defined as in (9), using the canonical projection we can set

$$\tilde{\nu}(A) := \nu(\pi^{-1}(A)) \qquad \text{for all Borel set } A \text{ in } (\widetilde{X}, \widetilde{d}).$$

$\tilde{\nu}$ is well defined since $\pi^{-1}(A) \in \mathcal{B}(X)$ being π continuous, and $\mathcal{B}(X) \subseteq \mathcal{A}$ thanks to Proposition 5.1.*iii*). Moreover, we clearly have

$$\operatorname{supp} \mu = \operatorname{supp} \nu = \pi^{-1}(\operatorname{supp} \tilde{\nu}). \tag{21}$$

We are now ready to prove our main result.

Proof of Theorem 3.2. From the results collected so far, we know that $\widetilde{K}$ is a continuous kernel by Proposition 5.2, and $(\widetilde{X}, \widetilde{d})$ is a separable metric space (see Proposition 5.1), which is endowed with a finite measure $\tilde{\nu}$. In order to apply Theorem 4.1, we need to show that the integrability condition (15) is met by $\widetilde{K}$. From the definition of $\widetilde{X}$, $\widetilde{K}$ and $\tilde{\nu}$, taking into account that $\widetilde{K}([x], [x]) = K(x, x)$ for all $x \in X$, and using the change of variables $[x] = \pi(x)$, we have

$$\int_{\widetilde{X}} \widetilde{K}([x], [x]) \, \mathrm{d}\tilde{\nu}([x]) = \int_X K(x, x) \mathrm{d}\nu(x).$$

Therefore $\widetilde{X}$, $\widetilde{K}$ and $\tilde{\nu}$ satisfy the assumptions of Theorem 4.1. Hence, $\widetilde{K}$ can be written component-wise as

$$\widetilde{K}([x], [t])_{jl} = \sum_{i \in I} \sigma_i \tilde{f}_i^l([t]) \overline{\tilde{f}_i^j([x])} \tag{22}$$

where $(\sqrt{\sigma_i} \tilde{f}_i)_{i \in I}$ is basis of $\ker L_{\tilde{\nu}}{}^{\perp}$ of eigenvectors of the integral operator $L_{\tilde{\nu}}$ whose kernel is $\widetilde{K}$. Furthermore $(\sqrt{\sigma_i} \tilde{f}_i)_{i \in I}$ is an orthonormal family of

$\mathcal{H}_{\widetilde{K}}$ with $\tilde{f}_i$ continuous on $\widetilde{X}$. Then $f_i := W\tilde{f}_i$ is a continuous function on X thanks to the definition of τ_K and W (see (20)), and $(\sqrt{\sigma}_i f_i)_{i\in I}$ is an orthonormal part of $\mathcal{H}_K$ by Proposition 5.2.*iii*). Moreover, for all $f, g \in \mathcal{H}_K$, it holds

$$\int_{\widetilde{X}} \tilde{f}([x])\tilde{g}([x])\mathrm{d}\tilde{\nu}([x]) = \int_X f(x)g(x)\mathrm{d}\nu(x)$$

by definition of $\tilde{\nu}$, and thus $\{f_i\}_{i\in I}$ is an orthonormal family in $L^2(X,\nu;\mathbb{C}^n)$ as well. Note that, $\{f_i\}$ is also a basis of eigenvectors of L_ν since $(L_\nu f_i)(x) = (L_{\tilde{\nu}}\tilde{f}_i)([x])$ for all $i \in I$. The definition of W and equation (21) entail

$$K(x,t)_{jl} = \sum_{i\in I} \sigma_i f_i^l(t)\overline{f_i^j(x)}$$

for all $x, t \in \operatorname{supp}\nu = \operatorname{supp}\mu$.
Since the series in (22) is uniformly convergent on the compact subsets of $\operatorname{supp}\tilde{\nu}\times\operatorname{supp}\tilde{\nu}$, by Proposition 5.2.*iv* the latter series is uniformly convergent on the compact subsets of $\operatorname{supp}\mu \times \operatorname{supp}\mu$.

The unitary equivalence between $\mathcal{H}_K$ and $\mathcal{H}_{\widetilde{K}}$ (through W) implies that $(\sqrt{\sigma}_i f_i)_{i\in I}$ is an orthonormal basis of $\mathcal{H}_K$ if and only if $(\sqrt{\sigma}_i \tilde{f}_i)_{i\in I}$ is an orthonormal basis of $\mathcal{H}_{\widetilde{K}}$. Hence item *iii*) is a consequence of Theorem 4.1 and (21).

Finally, we prove item iv). First of all note that it straightforward to see that every K_j given by (12) is a scalar kernel on X. Moreover, it satisfies

$$K_j(x,t) = \sum_{i\in I} \sigma_i f_i^j(t)\overline{f_i^j(x)} \qquad \forall\, x,t \in X$$

thanks to equation (11).
Fix $j = 1,\ldots,n$ and set $\gamma_x = (\sqrt{\sigma_i} f_i^j)_{i\in I} \in \ell^2(I)$ for all $x \in X$. Since

$$\langle \gamma_t, \gamma_x\rangle = \sum_{i\in I} \sigma_i f_i^j(t)\overline{f_i^j(x)} = K_j(x,t),$$

the function defined by

$$(W^j c)(x) := \langle c, \gamma_x\rangle = \sum_{i\in I} \sqrt{\sigma_i} c_i \overline{f_i^j(x)}, \qquad c \in \ell^2(I),$$

is a partial isometry onto $\mathcal{H}_{K_j}$ by Proposition 2.2. Therefore we have

$$\|f\|_j^2 = \|W^* f\|_2^2 = \sum_{i\in I} \sigma_i |\langle f, f_i^j\rangle_{K_j}|^2 \qquad \forall\, f \in \mathcal{H}_{K_j},$$

i.e. $\{\sqrt{\sigma_i} f_i^j\}_{i\in I}$ is a Parseval frame in $\mathcal{H}_{K_j}$. $\square$

Proof of Proposition 3.3. Fix $j = 1, \ldots, n$ and let $\{f_i^j\}_{i\in I}$ be a Parseval frame in $\mathcal{H}_{K_j}$. The function K given by (14) is a $\mathbb{C}^n$-reproducing kernel on X since

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_{l,r=1}^{m} \langle K(x_l, x_r) y_r, y_l\rangle &= \sum_{l,r=1}^{m} \sum_{p,q=1}^{n} K(x_l, x_r)_{pq} y_r^q \overline{y_l^p} \\
&= \sum_{l,r=1}^{m} \sum_{p,q=1}^{n} \sum_{i\in I} y_r^q \overline{y_l^p} f_i^q(x_r) \overline{f_i^p(x_l)} \\
&= \sum_{i\in I} \left| \sum_{r=1}^{m} \sum_{q=1}^{n} y_r^q f_i^q(x_r) \right|^2 \geq 0
\end{aligned}$$

for all $x_1, \ldots, x_m \in X$, $y_1, \ldots, y_m \in \mathbb{C}^n$, $m \geq 1$. Finally, we have

$$K_j(x,x) = \|(K_j)_x\|_j^2 = \sum_{i\in I} |f_i^j(x)|^2 = K(x,x)_{jj}$$

for all $x \in X$, so that $K_j(x,t) = K(x,t)_{jj}$ for all $x, t \in X$ by polarization's identity.

Since all K_j are measurable, so is K. The fact that I is countable implies that each $\mathcal{H}_{K_j}$ are separable as well as $\mathcal{H}_K$. $\square$

6 Appendix

We recall some basic facts about the embedding of a reproducing kernel Hilbert space into $L^2(X, \nu, \mathbb{C}^n)$.

Theorem 6.1. *Let X be a separable metric space and ν a finite measure on X. Assume $K : X \times X \to M_n(\mathbb{C})$ to be a $\mathbb{C}^n$-reproducing kernel such that it is continuous and*

$$\int_X \operatorname{Tr} K(x,x)\, \mathrm{d}\nu(x) < +\infty. \tag{23}$$

The following facts hold true:

1. *every function in $\mathcal{H}_K$ is continuous and $\mathcal{H}_K$ is separable;*

2. *the canonical embedding*

$$i_\nu : \mathcal{H}_K \hookrightarrow L^2(X, \nu; \mathbb{C}^n) \tag{24}$$

is a well defined compact operator. Its adjoint $i_\nu^* : L^2(X, \nu; \mathbb{C}^n) \to \mathcal{H}_K$ *is given by*

$$i_\nu^* f = \sum_{j=1}^{n} \int_X K_x^j f^i(x)\, \mathrm{d}\nu(x), \tag{25}$$

where the integrals converge in $\mathcal{H}_K$*;*

3. *the composition* $i_\nu i_\nu^* : L^2(X, \nu; \mathbb{C}^n) \to L^2(X, \nu; \mathbb{C}^n)$ *is a positive trace class operator given by*

$$(i_\nu i_\nu^* f)(x) = \int_X K(x,t) f(t) \mathrm{d}\nu(t) = (L_\nu f)(x);$$

4. *there exist a family* $\{f_i\}_{i \in I}$ *of* L_K *in* $\mathcal{C}(X, \mathbb{C}^n) \cap L^2(X, \nu; \mathbb{C}^n)$ *and a sequence* $\{\sigma_i\}_{i \in I}$ *in* $(0, +\infty)$ *such that* $\{f_i\}_{i \in I}$ *is an orthonormal basis of* $\ker L_\nu{}^\perp = \overline{\mathrm{Ran} L_\nu}$ *and*

$$L_\nu f_i = \sigma_i f_i \qquad \forall\, i \in I.$$

Proof. Set $M := \int_X \mathrm{Tr}\, K(x,x)\, \mathrm{d}\nu(x) \in \mathbb{R}_+$.
1. Given $f \in \mathcal{H}_K$, by the reproducing property

$$f(x) = (\langle f, K_x^1 \rangle_K, \ldots, \langle f, K_x^n \rangle_K).$$

Since the j-th component of f coincides with the composition of the inner product in $\mathcal{H}_K$ with the map $x \mapsto K_x^j$, which is clearly continuous, it follows that $\mathcal{H}_K \subseteq \mathcal{C}(X, \mathbb{C}^n)$. Moreover, since X is separable, there exists a countable set dense X_0 dense in X. Hence $\mathcal{H}_K$ is separable since $\mathcal{S} = \{K_x^j : x \in X_0, j = 1, \ldots, n\}$ is total in $\mathcal{H}_K$. Indeed, take $f \in \mathcal{S}^\perp$, then the reproducing property gives that $f(x)^j = \langle f, K_x^j \rangle = 0$ for all $x \in X_0$ and $j = 1, \ldots, n$. Since f is continuous and X_0 dense, it follows that $f = 0$, so that the claim is proved.
2. If $f \in \mathcal{H}_K$, then the following chain of inequalities holds:

$$\int\limits_X \|f(x)\|^2\, d\nu(x) \leq \int\limits_X \langle K_x K_x^* f, f \rangle_K^2\, d\nu(x) \leq \int\limits_X \|f\|_K^2\, \mathrm{Tr}\, K(x,x)\, d\nu(x) \leq M \|f\|_K^2, \tag{26}$$

and the last quantity is finite by hypothesis. Thus i_K is well-defined and bounded. Moreover, if $f \in L^2(X,\nu;\mathbb{C}^n)$, we get

$$\langle i_\nu^* f, g\rangle_K = \langle f, g\rangle_2 = \int_X \langle f(x), K_x^* g\rangle \mathrm{d}\nu(x) = \langle \int_X K_x f(x)\mathrm{d}\nu(x), g\rangle_K,$$

where the integral $\int_X K_x f(x)\mathrm{d}\nu(x)$ converges in $\mathcal{H}_K$ by the Hölder inequality, since

$$\int_X \|K_x f(x)\|\mathrm{d}\nu(x) \le \int_X \big(\operatorname{Tr} K(x,x)\big)^{1/2} \|f(x)\|\, d\nu(x),$$

$x \mapsto \big(\operatorname{Tr} K(x,x)\big)^{1/2} \in L^2(X,\nu;\mathbb{C}^n)$ and $f \in L^2(X,\nu;\mathbb{C}^n)$. The componentwise representation in equation (25) follows by (2).

3. The formula for L_ν follows immediately using the expression for i_ν^* obtained in item 1 and the fact that i_ν is the canonical embedding. In order to prove that L_ν is a Hilbert-Schmidt operator, we prove that in fact is a trace class operator. Fix $\{\varphi_\ell\}_{\ell\in\mathbb{N}}$ an orthonormal basis of $\mathcal{H}_K$ and note that

$$\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{Tr} L_\nu = \operatorname{Tr}(i_\nu^* i_\nu) &= \sum_{\ell\in\mathbb{N}} \|i_K\varphi_\ell\|_2^2 = \sum_{\ell\in\mathbb{N}} \int_X \|\varphi_\ell(x)\|^2\, d\nu(x) \\
&= \sum_{\ell\in\mathbb{N}} \int_X \sum_{j=1}^n \langle \varphi_\ell, K_x^j\rangle_K^2\, d\nu(x) \\
&= \int_X \sum_{j=1}^n \sum_{\ell\in\mathbb{N}} \langle \varphi_\ell, K_x^j\rangle_K^2\, d\nu(x) \\
&= \int_X \sum_{j=1}^n \|K_x^j\|_K^2\, d\nu(x) \\
&= \int_X \sum_{j=1}^n K(x,x)_{jj}\, d\nu(x) \\
&= M.
\end{aligned}$$

Then L_ν is compact and being positive by construction, there exist a basis of eigenvectors $\{f_i\}_{i\in\mathbb{N}} \subseteq L^2(X,\nu;\mathbb{C}^n)$ and the associated sequence of positive eigenvalues $\{\sigma_i\}_{i\in\mathbb{N}}$. If we denote by I the set of indices corresponding to strictly positive eigenvalues, we have that $\{f_i\}_{i\in I}$ is a basis of $\ker L_\nu{}^\perp = \overline{\mathrm{Ran} L_\nu}$. On the other hand, given f_i, $i \in I$, if we define $g_i = i_K^* f_i/\sqrt{\sigma_i} \in \mathcal{H}_K$, we have that $i_\nu g_i \in C(X, \mathbb{C}^n)$ (i.e. it admits a continuous representative) by 1, and

$$(i_\nu g_i)(x) = (L_\nu f_i)(x)/\sqrt{\sigma_i} = \sqrt{\sigma_i} f_i(x) \tag{27}$$

for ν-almost all $x \in X$. Thus, we can assume without loss of generality f_i to be continuous for all $i \in I$.

□

References

[1] Y. Lee, Y. Lin, G. Wahba, Multicategory support vector machines: theory and application to
J. Amer. Statist. Assoc. 99 (465) (2004) 67–81.

[2] T. Evgeniou, C. A. Micchelli, M. Pontil, Learning multiple tasks with kernel methods, J. Mach. Learn. Res. 6 (2005) 615–637 (electronic).

[3] A. Caponnetto, E. De Vito, Optimal rates for the regularized least-squares algorithm, Found. Comput. Math. 7 (3) (2007) 331–368.

[4] L. Schwartz, Sous-espaces hilbertiens d'espaces vectoriels topologiques et noyaux associés (noyaux reproduisants), J. Analyse Math. 13 (1964) 115–256.

[5] G. Pedrick, Theory of reproducing kernels for hilbert spaces of vector valued functions, Tech. rep., Kansas Univ Lawrence (1957).

[6] C. A. Micchelli, M. Pontil, Learning the kernel function via regularization, J. Mach. Learn. Res. 6 (2005) 1099–1125.

[7] A. Caponnetto, C. A. Micchelli, M. Pontil, Y. Ying, Universal multi-task kernels, J. Mach. Learn. Res. 9 (2008) 1615–1646.

[8] C. Carmeli, E. De Vito, A. Toigo, Vector valued reproducing kernel Hilbert spaces of integrable functions and Mercer theorem, Anal. Appl. (Singap.) 4 (4) (2006) 377–408.

[9] C. Carmeli, E. De Vito, A. Toigo, V. Umanità, Vector valued reproducing kernel Hilbert spaces and universality, Anal. Appl. (Singap.) 8 (1) (2010) 19–61.

[10] J. Mercer, Functions of positive and negative type and their connection with the theory of integral equations, Phil. Trans. R. Soc. Lon. A 209 (441–458) (1909) 415–446.

[11] N. Dunford, L. Schwartz, Linear Operators Part II Spectral Theory: Self Adjoint Operators in Hilbert Space, Pure and Applied Mathematics, Wiley, New York, 1963.

[12] F. Cucker, D.-X. Zhou, Learning theory: an approximation theory viewpoint, Cambridge Monographs on Applied and Computational Mathematics, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2007.

[13] I. Steinwart, A. Christmann, Support vector machines, Information Science and Statistics, Springer, New York, 2008.

[14] A. Berlinet, C. Thomas-Agnan, Reproducing kernel Hilbert spaces in probability and statistics, Kluwer Academic Publishers, Boston, MA, 2004.

[15] A. Maurer, Unsupervised slow subspace-learning from stationary processes, Theoret. Comput. Sci. 405 (3) (2008) 237–255.

[16] B. Schölkopf, A. Smola, K.-R. Müller, Nonlinear component analysis as a kernel eigenvalue problem, Neural Comput. 10 (1998) 12991319.

[17] J. Shawe-Taylor, C. Williams, N. Cristianini, J. Kandola, On the eigenspectrum of the gram matrix and the generalisation error of kernel PCA, IEEE Transactions on Information Theory 51 (7) (2005) 2510 – 2522.

[18] H. Sun, Mercer theorem for RKHS on noncompact sets., J. Complexity 21 (3) (2005) 337–349.

[19] D. Werner, Functional analysis. , Berlin: Springer-Verlag, 1995.

[20] H. König, Eigenvalue distribution of compact operators., Birkhäuser, 1986.

[21] I. Steinwart, C. Scovel, Mercer's theorem on general domains: on the interaction between measures, kernels, and RKHSs, Tech. rep., Fakultät Mathematik und Physik, Universität Stuttgart (2010).

[22] S. Smale, D.-X. Zhou, Geometry on probability spaces, Constr. Approx. 30 (3) (2009) 311–323.

[23] E. De Vito, L. Rosasco, A. Toigo, Spectral regularization for support estimation, in: J. Lafferty, C. K. I. Williams, J. Shawe-Taylor, R. Zemel, A. Culotta (Eds.), Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems, NIPS Foundation, 2010, pp. 1–9.

[24] R. R. Coifman, S. Lafon, Geometric harmonics: a novel tool for multiscale out-of-sample extension of empirical functions, Appl. Comput. Harmon. Anal. 21 (1) (2006) 31–52.

[25] L. Rosasco, M. Belkin, E. De Vito, On learning with integral operators, J. Mach. Learn. Res. 11 (2010) 905–934.